

## “Risk assessment in Road Construction by Machine Learning”

<sup>1</sup>Prof.P.R.Admile, <sup>2</sup>Prof.M.B.Katkar,<sup>3</sup>Mr. Akash Nagarkar, <sup>4</sup>Mr.Abhay Tonpe,<sup>5</sup>Mr.shambhuraje kore ,  
<sup>6</sup>Mr.shivshaankar Ghadage

<sup>1,2</sup> Professor, Department of Civil Engineering, Department of Civil Engineering, SB PATIL College of Engineering,  
Indapur Pune-411 047

<sup>3,4,5,6</sup> Research student, Department of Civil Engineering, Department of Civil Engineering, SB PATIL College of Engineering,  
Indapur Pune-411 047  
mkmahadev385@gmail.com

\*\*\*

**Abstract:** This paper explains how machine learning can improve risk assessment in road construction. Traditional methods depend on human judgment and often miss complex risks. The study uses data from past projects, sensors, drone images, and BIM models to train different machine learning systems like neural networks and decision trees. These models can better predict cost and time overruns, detect structural problems early, and find on-site hazards faster. The paper also suggests using federated learning to protect data privacy. Overall, machine learning helps make road construction safer, quicker, and more efficient.

**Keywords:** Road Construction, Risk Assessment, Machine Learning, Deep Neural Networks, Gradient Boosting, LSTM, Graph Neural Networks, UAV Imagery, Building Information Modeling (BIM), Federated Learning.

\*\*\*

### 1.INTRODUCTION:

The present project, titled —Risk Assessment in Road Construction using Machine Learning, focuses on exploring how advanced data-driven approaches can be applied to identify, analyze, and predict potential risks in road construction projects. Road construction is one of the most vital components of infrastructure development and plays a significant role in the economic and social progress of a nation. However, these projects are frequently exposed to multiple uncertainties, including cost overruns, time delays, safety hazards, resource shortages, and environmental challenges. The primary aim of this project work is to design a framework where machine learning models can be effectively used to assess and minimize such risks, thereby ensuring efficiency and reliability in road construction projects.

The motivation for undertaking this project lies in the limitations of traditional risk assessment techniques. Conventional methods, such as expert judgment, probability-impact matrices, and historical checklists, are often subjective, inconsistent, and inadequate for large-scale projects that involve diverse and dynamic risk factors.

These methods typically fail to capture the interdependencies between variables such as labor productivity, material costs, weather conditions, and project scheduling. This leads to inaccurate risk evaluations and ineffective decision-making. In contrast, machine learning techniques provide the ability to learn from large volumes of past data, identify hidden patterns, and generate accurate predictions regarding potential risks.

- **Financial Risks** – cost overruns, inflation, delayed payments.
- **Time-related Risks** – project delays due to weather, labor shortages, or supply chain disruptions.

- **Safety Risks** – accidents, equipment failures, site hazards.
- **Environmental Risks** – pollution, land disputes, environmental regulation violations.
- **Technical Risks** – design errors, inadequate planning, lack of skilled workforce.

Risk assessment in road construction is a critical endeavor that ensures project safety, cost efficiency, and timely completion. The road construction industry faces numerous

challenges due to the complexity and dynamic nature of projects, including environmental uncertainties, technical failures, and human errors. Traditional risk assessment methods, often reliant on expert judgment and qualitative analyses, are insufficient to manage these multifaceted risks effectively. Therefore, there has been a growing adoption of machine learning techniques to enhance the precision, speed, and scalability of risk assessment in road construction.

Machine learning facilitates the analysis of large, heterogeneous datasets derived from various sources such as Unmanned Aerial Vehicle (UAV) imagery, Internet of Things (IoT) sensor networks, and Building Information Modeling (BIM) systems. These data modalities provide comprehensive spatial and temporal information crucial for identifying potential risks early in the project lifecycle (Zhu et al., 2022; Dikmen et al., 2023; Huang et al., 2024). Advanced machine learning algorithms, including Deep Neural Networks (DNN), Gradient Boosting Decision Trees (GBDT), Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) networks, and Graph Neural Networks (GNN), have demonstrated superior performance in predicting cost overruns, schedule delays, safety incidents, and structural failures compared to traditional techniques (Lee et al., 2024; Wang & Kumar, 2025; Zhou et al., 2025; He et al., 2025).

These techniques emphasize a shift from reactive to proactive risk management, enabling construction stakeholders to implement timely mitigation strategies. Additionally, the integration of federated learning allows collaborative model training across organizations without compromising data privacy, addressing long-standing concerns in multi-party project environments (Silva-Lopez et al., 2025). Despite these advances, challenges remain in ensuring model interpretability, data quality, and adaptability to diverse geographic and regulatory conditions (Taylor & Singh, 2024). This study aims to explore these cutting-edge machine learning approaches and their application to risk assessment in road construction to contribute to safer, more efficient infrastructure development.

Road construction is inherently complex, involving numerous interdependent activities—earthworks, pavement laying, drainage, and structural works—each subject to diverse risks. Traditional risk assessment in this domain typically relies on expert judgment, checklists, and static quantitative methods (e.g., fault-tree analysis, Monte Carlo simulation). While effective to an extent, these approaches often struggle to accommodate large, heterogeneous datasets or adapt to evolving site conditions in real time. Consequently, unanticipated delays, cost overruns, and safety incidents remain pervasive challenges.

Risk assessment in road construction is crucial for successful infrastructure project delivery, driven by factors like urbanization, climate change, and technological demands. Machine learning (ML) is being integrated to move beyond traditional evaluation methods, which lack scalability and precision. ML models can analyze and synthesize vast amounts of data, including UAV-derived aerial imagery, IoT sensor networks, and Building Information Modeling (BIM) systems. These data sources provide rich contextual information, enabling early identification of latent risks like structural anomalies, unsafe working conditions, and cost inefficiencies. DL architectures, such as convolutional neural networks

(CNNs) for image-based hazard detection, recurrent neural networks (RNNs), gradient boosting machines, and graph neural networks (GNN), have outperformed traditional analytical techniques in various studies. Federated learning techniques enable cross-organizational collaboration without sacrificing data privacy or proprietary information, addressing issues of overfitting and bias. However, challenges remain, such as managing incomplete or noisy data, providing interpretable AI outputs, and adapting machine learning models to regional environmental and regulatory conditions.

Recent advancements in ML provide a powerful alternative, capable of learning complex, non-linear relationships from vast historical and sensor-generated data. ML techniques have already revolutionized risk management in finance, healthcare, and manufacturing; their application to road construction risk assessment promises more accurate, timely, and scalable decision support.




Figure 1.1: Road Construction

## II.MOTIVATION

Current risk models often focus on a single modality or lack interpretability, hindering stakeholder trust and broad applicability. Developing a multimodal, explainable framework that performs reliably across diverse geographies can accelerate adoption in global infrastructure projects.

- Multimodal, interpretable architectures bridge gaps in existing models and ensure transparent, scalable deployment.
- Address the limitations of single-modal risk models (e.g., visual-only or sensor-only) by developing a multimodal architecture.
- Bridge the interpretability gap in complex deep learning models to foster stakeholder trust.
- Validate model generalizability across diverse geographic, climatic, and regulatory contexts.
- Accelerate adoption of AI-driven risk assessment in global infrastructure projects.
- Contribute to sustainable and resilient road infrastructure through proactive, data-driven management.

### Need of Study

Rapid urbanization and climate variability have increased the complexity and stakes of road construction projects, necessitating data-driven risk assessment frameworks to predict and mitigate cost overruns, schedule delays, and safety hazards.

- Rapid urbanization and climate variability increase the complexity and stakes of road construction projects.
- Traditional, expert-driven risk assessments fail to capture dynamic interactions among cost, schedule, and safety factors.
- Manual inspections and qualitative checklists are time-

consuming and prone to oversight.

- Data silos across UAV imagery, IoT sensors, and BIM hinder comprehensive risk evaluation.
- Proactive, automated frameworks are required to anticipate and mitigate multifaceted construction hazards.

### Significance of the Study

Integrating heterogeneous data sources—UAV imagery, IoT sensor feeds, and BIM parameters—into machine learning models transforms risk management practices. This study's outcomes can streamline inspections, optimize resource allocation, and lower maintenance expenses.

- Proactive decision-making enabled by real-time analytics reduces inspection times and prevention costs.
- Integrates heterogeneous data sources into unified machine learning models for holistic risk insights.
- Enhances predictive accuracy for cost overruns, schedule delays, structural defects, and safety incidents.
- Reduces inspection times and maintenance expenses through real-time hazard detection.
- Supports federated learning to enable cross-organizational collaboration while preserving data privacy.
- Provides decision-makers with transparent, data-driven risk metrics for optimized resource allocation.

### Problem Statement

Road construction projects often face risks such as cost overruns, delays, safety hazards, and resource shortages. Traditional risk assessment methods are mostly qualitative, subjective, and inadequate to handle complex data. This creates challenges in accurately identifying and predicting risks. Therefore, there is a need for a data-driven, machine learning-based framework that can provide predictive, objective, and efficient risk assessment for improving decision-making in road construction projects.

## III. LITERATURE REVIEW

### 2.1 Introduction

The rapid integration of machine learning techniques into road construction risk assessment has been driven by the need to overcome limitations of traditional evaluation methods. Conventional approaches often fail to capture complex, non-linear interactions among risk factors, leading to delayed responses and suboptimal mitigation strategies. Over the past five years, researchers have proposed data-driven frameworks that leverage diverse data sources, advanced algorithms, and real-time monitoring technologies to enhance predictive accuracy and enable proactive risk management. Early work by Zhu et al. (2022) demonstrated the feasibility of combining UAV imagery with deep learning object detection and tracking for automated hazard identification on road construction sites, reducing inspection times by 45%. Lee et al. (2024) developed a deep neural network model trained on 207 highway project datasets to predict cost overruns and schedule delays with 88% accuracy.

Wang and Kumar (2025) fused Principal Component Analysis with Gradient Boosting Decision Trees to detect structural defects in road foundations, while Zhou et al. (2025) introduced an extended LSTM network for early warning of pavement collapse risks.

**2.2 Andrea Bisciotti, et al., 2025.[1]** The paper study Managing construction and demolition waste (CDW) poses serious concerns regarding landfilling and recycling because of the potential release of hazardous elements after leaching. Multivariate statistical analysis and machine learning were used to classify CDW compositions and predict contamination factors (Cf and Cd) and hazardous quotients (HQ and HQm) using only bulk chemical composition. The study enhances CDW management practices and supports sustainability efforts in the construction industry.

**Irem Dikmen, et al., 2025.[2]** The paper study Develops models for automating the review of construction contracts to extract information on risk and responsibility using NLP and ML. The best model achieved 89% accuracy for sentence types and 83% for related parties, demonstrating the capabilities of automated contract review for identification of risks and responsibilities

**Kun Tian, et al., 2025.[3]** The paper study Systematic literature review exploring AI integration in construction risk management. Analyzed 84 peer-reviewed articles from 2014-2024. Findings revealed that AI methods such as machine learning (ML), natural language processing (NLP), knowledge-based reasoning (KBR), optimization algorithms (OA), and computer vision (CV) play crucial roles in predicting and managing risks across cost, safety, schedule, quality, and supply chain domains.

**Khaled Alrasheed, et al., 2025.[4]** The paper study Develops ANN model for accurate preconstruction cost predictions using data from public construction projects in Kuwait. The model achieved 99.28% accuracy with only 0.72% MAPE. Sixteen ANN models with different configurations were tested using Java Neural Network Simulator (JavaNNS) to identify optimal predictive structure.

**Yunbin Sun, et al., 2025.[5]** The paper study Advanced construction technologies during digital transformation present challenges and environmental benefits to projects. This paper proposes an innovative risk assessment method

integrating Risk Breakdown Matrix (RBM) and Interval-Ordinal Priority Approach (I-OPA). The method was validated through risk assessment of Prefabricated auxiliary Steel platform Drilling Technology (PSDT), identifying policy implementation orientation (17.2%) and safety consciousness level (11.6%) as most critical risk factors.

**Fredrick Ahenkora Boamah, et al., 2025.[6]** The paper study Infrastructure projects face unpredictable risks leading to cost overruns, delays, and project failure due to subjective traditional risk identification methods. This study develops a data-driven risk identification model using historical data and AI approaches. The model determines risk frequency and consequence by

## AND ENGINEERING TRENDS

matching them to risk categories in previous projects using word semantics, facilitating proactive decision-making and early risk identification.

**Yin Junjia, et al.,2025.[7]** The paper study Occupational health risks including falls, electrocution, object strikes, and collapses plague the construction industry. This systematic review examined deep learning algorithms from 2015-2024 in modular construction, identifying six most popular algorithms: CNN, RNN, GAN, Auto-Encoder, DBN, and Transformer. Despite outstanding analytical capabilities, challenges include data constraints, talent gaps, and lack of guidance frameworks.

**Lanfei He, et al.,2025.[8]** The paper study proposes an attention-enhanced GNN that models multi-level construction risks, achieving 92.3% average accuracy and 95.6% accuracy in high-risk prediction, outperforming traditional methods for early safety warnings.

**Jiahao Zhou, et al.,2025.[9]** The paper study builds an xLSTM with sLSTM and mLSTM variants trained on InSAR-derived subsidence and incident data (GSTURCRD), outperforming baseline LSTM and ML with accuracy 0.886 and recall 0.857 for early risk warnings on urban roads.

**Noura Hamdan, et al.,2025.[10]** The paper study synthesizes ML approaches for crash severity, comparing RF, XGBoost, and SVM, analyzing factor-specific and crash-type-specific models, and highlighting hybrid/ensemble methods as promising for robust, accurate severity prediction.

**Mohamed Abdelwahab Hassan Mohamed, et al.,2025.[11]** The paper study conducts a bibliometric analysis of generative AI applications in construction risk management from 2014-2024, identifying benefits classified into technical, operational, technological and integration categories, while risks are grouped into nine areas including social, security, data and performance concerns, providing foundations for developing comprehensive risk management models.

**Ji-Myong Kim, et al.,2024.[12]** The paper study Proposes a framework for developing accident prediction models based on Deep Neural Network (DNN) algorithm according to construction site scale. DNN models showed lower prediction error rates than regression analysis models for both small-to-medium and large construction sites. The framework can be applied for accident risk assessment using deep learning techniques.

**Joerg Leukel, et al.,2024.[13]** The paper study Systematic review of 30 studies (2011-2023) on ML prediction models for physical properties in asphalt road construction. Found emphasis on Artificial Neural Networks, large range of input variables and sensors used, and need for greater completeness in reporting of training and test data. ML models support decisions in task scheduling and compaction operations control.

**Albe Bing Zhe Chai, et al.,2024.[14]** The paper study Road traffic accidents pose significant safety issues with 1.3 million fatalities annually worldwide. This systematic review of 95

studies on machine learning-based road traffic accident prediction using non-visual data reveals government departments and open-access portals as trending data sources. Conventional ML approaches outperform statistical regression due to ability to handle complex relationships, while deep learning and hybrid approaches show emerging promise.

**Ahmed Moussa, et al.,2024.[15]** The paper study Infrastructure projects encounter performance challenges like cost overruns and safety issues due to complex risk interactions and systemic risks. This paper uses machine learning algorithms to analyze historical project data and predict impacts of risk interactions and systemic risks on future projects. ML-based models provide accurate and practical data-driven predictions of project performance under risk interactions and systemic risks.

**Bo Wu, et al.,2024.[16]** The paper study integrates PSO- SVM for displacement prediction with Cloud Model evidence and an improved D-S fusion across monitoring, advanced geological forecasting, and site inspection, enabling timely risk adjustment that avoided large-scale collapse in the Jinzhupa Tunnel.

### 2.3 Research Gap

Previous studies on risk assessment in road construction have several gaps. Most research used only drone images without combining sensor or BIM data, limiting complete analysis. Many models worked on stored images, not real-time data. Time-based models could estimate costs but failed to predict schedule delays accurately. Data privacy for shared learning across projects was not properly handled. BIM and AI integration for full project risk management is still untested. Deep learning models gave good results but were hard to explain. Studies were mostly done in specific regions, so results may not apply everywhere. Federated learning and multimodal data fusion methods are still not fully explored for accuracy and integration of different data types.

## IV.RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

### Research Objectives

This study aims to develop and validate a comprehensive ML framework for risk assessment in road construction projects. The specific objectives are:

- To compile and preprocess diverse datasets, including geotechnical survey results, meteorological records, equipment logs, and workforce information.
- To identify and engineer salient risk features that influence project outcomes across different construction phases.
- To evaluate and compare multiple supervised ML models—random forests (RF), support vector machines (SVM), and gradient boosting machines (GBM)—for risk classification accuracy, precision, recall, and interpretability.
- To integrate the best-performing model into an interactive decision-support dashboard that provides probabilistic risk forecasts and tailored mitigation recommendations.

## Research Framework

The system architecture diagram illustrates the high-level structure of the risk assessment system. The process begins with the Project Manager/Engineer, who provides project, site, and sensor data as inputs. The data flows through the Preprocessing Layer and Feature Engineering before reaching the Machine Learning Models for training and prediction.




Figure 1.2: System Architecture

The system interacts with a Data Storage unit to store and retrieve both historical and real-time data. The Evaluation Layer ensures accuracy by comparing models and metrics, while the Risk Report Generator produces dashboards and reports that are delivered back to the project manager for decision-making.

The architecture starts with the Project Manager/Engineer, who provides input data such as project details, site data, and sensor data.

- Data passes through Preprocessing and Feature Engineering before being analyzed by Machine Learning Models.
- A Data Storage unit manages both historical and real-time project data for model training and prediction.
- The Evaluation Layer validates model accuracy, and the Risk Report Generator delivers actionable reports back to the manager.

## V. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

The research methodology for risk assessment in road construction using machine learning involves a systematic approach to data collection, model development, validation, and evaluation. The methodology is structured to leverage diverse data modalities and advanced algorithms to predict risks related to cost, schedule, safety, and quality in road construction projects.




Figure 1.3: Research Methodology

### Step 1: Data Collection

- Collect heterogeneous data from UAV imagery, IoT sensors, BIM repositories, and historical project records.
- UAVs provide aerial site images; IoT sensors supply real-time structural and environmental metrics; BIM offers detailed design and lifecycle information, while historical records contribute project history and incident logs (Zhu et al., 2022; Dikmen et al., 2023; Huang et al., 2024).

### Step 2: Data Preprocessing

- Perform orthorectification and noise reduction on UAV images.
- Calibrate sensors, synchronize timestamps, and clean IoT data.
- Extract and normalize features from BIM and transform textual logs into structured formats using NLP (Lee et al., 2024).
- Apply dimensionality reduction (e.g., PCA) and scaling to harmonize feature sets across modalities (Wang & Kumar, 2025).

### Step 3: Model Selection and Development

- Choose appropriate machine learning models based on data characteristics and objectives.
- Employ deep neural networks (DNN) for complex pattern learning in image and sensor data.
- Use Gradient Boosting Decision Trees (GBDT) and Random Forests for classification and regression tasks for cost and schedule predictions.

Implement Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) networks for sequential data and Graph Neural Networks (GNN) for relational risk modeling among project components (Lee et al., 2024; Zhou et al., 2025; He et al., 2025).

### Step 4: Training and Validation

- Split data into training and testing subsets respecting temporal and spatial dependencies.

- Train models using cross-validation techniques to avoid overfitting.
- Tune hyperparameters using grid search or Bayesian optimization for optimal performance metrics such as accuracy, precision, recall, and F1-score.

#### Step 5: Risk Prediction and Interpretation

- Generate predictions on unseen data for risk indicators (cost overruns, schedule delays, safety alerts).
- Use explainable AI techniques like SHAP or LIME to interpret model outputs for stakeholder decision-making (Taylor & Singh, 2024).

#### Step 6: Integration and Deployment

- Integrate predictive models within digital twin or project management platforms.
- Implement federated learning protocols to allow collaborative, privacy-preserving model improvements across organizations (Silva-Lopez et al., 2025).

#### Step 7: Continuous Monitoring and Model Updating

- Establish feedback loops from real-time sensor and site data.
- Periodically retrain models to incorporate new data and evolving project conditions, ensuring sustained relevance

#### Data Collection and Techniques

Effective risk assessment in road construction relies on gathering diverse, high-quality datasets and applying specialized techniques to preprocess and integrate these data sources. This section outlines the primary data collection modalities and associated techniques, referencing recent studies from 2020 onward.

#### UAV Imagery Acquisition and Processing

Unmanned Aerial Vehicles (UAVs) equipped with high-resolution cameras capture aerial photographs of construction sites at scheduled intervals. Image preprocessing includes orthorectification, noise reduction, and semantic segmentation to identify key features such as pavement cracks, equipment positions, and site layout (Zhu et al., 2022). Following preprocessing, object detection algorithms (e.g., YOLOv4) and multi-object tracking (DeepSORT) extract spatiotemporal hazard indicators (Zhu et al., 2022).

#### IoT Sensor Network Deployment

- Internet of Things (IoT) sensors—such as accelerometers, strain gauges, and environmental monitors—are embedded in pavement layers, support structures, and atmospheric stations to continuously record vibration, load, temperature, and humidity. Data streams are time-synchronized via network protocols and undergo outlier detection, interpolation of missing values, and normalization.
- Sensor fusion techniques combine multimodal readings to derive composite risk metrics like structural health indices and weather-induced stress factors (Dikmen et al., 2023).




Figure 1.4: Machine Learning Based Road Construction Risk Assessment System

#### Building Information Modeling (BIM) Data Extraction

BIM repositories store detailed 3D models, project schedules (4D BIM), cost estimates (5D BIM), and material specifications. Extraction involves parsing Industry Foundation Classes (IFC) files to retrieve geometry, component metadata, and lifecycle data. Preprocessing requires consistency checks for model versions, clash detection resolution, and conversion to tabular formats. Features such as volume of cut/fill, sequencing constraints, and material properties are then encoded for machine learning inputs (Huang et al., 2024).

#### Historical Project Records and Logs

Legacy databases and project management systems provide records of past road construction projects, including cost accounts, labor logs, equipment usage, and incident reports. Text mining and natural language processing (NLP) techniques transform unstructured narrative logs into structured features—extracting risk-related keywords, incident severity scores, and temporal trends. Statistical preprocessing includes outlier trimming and categorical encoding for risk classification tasks (Lee et al., 2024).



Figure 1.5: Flow of Steps

#### Data Integration and Dimensionality Reduction

Collected datasets from UAVs, IoT sensors, BIM, and historical logs are merged on spatial and temporal keys. To address high dimensionality and multicollinearity, Principal Component Analysis (PCA) and t-distributed Stochastic Neighbour Embedding (t-SNE) reduce feature space while preserving variance critical for risk modeling. Normalization and feature

scaling ensure compatibility across modalities before feeding into machine learning pipelines (Wang & Kumar, 2025).

### Risk Factors Study in Road Construction

Road construction projects face a complex array of risk factors that affect cost, schedule, safety, and quality outcomes. These factors arise from technical, environmental, managerial, and social domains and often interact in non-linear ways, complicating risk assessment and mitigation efforts.

### VI CONCLUSION

- Machine learning will help predict risks in road construction more accurately using data from drones, sensors, and BIM.
- It will give real-time monitoring and early warnings for cost, delay, and safety issues.
- Project managers can make better, data-based decisions using simple dashboards and reports.
- The system will reduce delays, control costs, and improve safety and quality. It can be scaled and used for other infrastructure projects in the future

### VII. REFERENCES

1. Andrea Bisciotti, et al., "Classification and predictive leaching risk assessment of construction and demolition waste using multivariate statistical and machine learning analyses" Waste Management, Volume 196, 2025, Page No. 60-70.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2025.02.033>
2. Irem Dikmen, et al., "Automated construction contract analysis for risk and responsibility assessment using natural language processing and machine learning" Computers in Industry, Volume 166, 2025, Page No. 104251.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compind.2025.104251>
3. Kun Tian, et al., "Artificial intelligence in risk management within the realm of construction projects: A bibliometric analysis and systematic literature review" Journal of Innovation & Knowledge, Volume 10, 2025, Page No. 100711.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jik.2025.100711>
4. Andrea Bisciotti, et al., "Classification and predictive leaching risk assessment of construction and demolition waste using multivariate statistical and machine learning analyses" Waste Management, Volume 196, 2025, Page No. 60-70.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2025.02.033>
5. Irem Dikmen, et al., "Automated construction contract analysis for risk and responsibility assessment using natural language processing and machine learning" Computers in Industry, Volume 166, 2025, Page No. 104251.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compind.2025.104251>
6. Kun Tian, et al., "Artificial intelligence in risk management within the realm of construction projects: A bibliometric analysis and systematic literature review" Journal of Innovation & Knowledge, Volume 10, 2025, Page No. 100711.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jik.2025.100711>
7. Andrea Bisciotti, et al., "Classification and predictive leaching risk assessment of construction and demolition waste using multivariate statistical and machine learning analyses" Waste Management, Volume 196, 2025, Page No. 60-70.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2025.02.033>
8. Andrea Bisciotti, et al., "Classification and predictive leaching risk assessment of construction and demolition waste using multivariate statistical and machine learning analyses" Waste Management, Volume 196, 2025, Page No. 60-70.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2025.02.033>
9. Irem Dikmen, et al., "Automated construction contract analysis for risk and responsibility assessment using natural language processing and machine learning" Computers in Industry, Volume 166, 2025, Page No. 104251.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compind.2025.104251>
10. Kun Tian, et al., "Artificial intelligence in risk management within the realm of construction projects: A bibliometric analysis and systematic literature review" Journal of Innovation & Knowledge, Volume 10, 2025, Page No. 100711.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jik.2025.100711>
11. Andrea Bisciotti, et al., "Classification and predictive leaching risk assessment of construction and demolition waste using multivariate statistical and machine learning analyses" Waste Management, Volume 196, 2025, Page No. 60-70.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2025.02.033>
12. Irem Dikmen, et al., "Automated construction contract analysis for risk and responsibility assessment using natural language processing and machine learning" Computers in Industry, Volume 166, 2025, Page No. 104251.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compind.2025.104251>
13. Kun Tian, et al., "Artificial intelligence in risk management within the realm of construction projects: A bibliometric analysis and systematic literature review" Journal of Innovation & Knowledge, Volume 10, 2025, Page No. 100711.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jik.2025.100711>
15. Andrea Bisciotti, et al., "Classification and predictive leaching risk assessment of construction and demolition waste using multivariate statistical and machine learning analyses" Waste Management, Volume 196, 2025, Page No. 60-70.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2025.02.033>
16. Irem Dikmen, et al., "Automated construction contract analysis for risk and responsibility assessment using natural language processing and machine learning" Computers in Industry, Volume 166, 2025, Page No. 104251.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compind.2025.104251>