

|| Volume 9 || Issue 10 || October 2025 || ISSN (Online) 2456-0774

AND ENGINEERING TRENDS

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ADVANCE SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH

Impact of AI Technology on Chemistry Education

B.G.Maske

Department of Chemistry, B.B. Arts, N.B. Commerce and B.P. Science College, Digras. Dist.- Yavatmal. <u>birumaske@gmail.com</u>

Abstract: The artificial intelligence (AI) was changing the way chemistry is taught in schools and colleges. It explains how different AI tools like smart learning apps, virtual labs, automatic checking systems, and data-based curriculum planning are being used in chemistry

education. The study suggests using both quantitative (numbers, test scores) and qualitative (interviews, observations) methods to find out how AI affects students' learning, interest, understanding of concepts, and how teachers feel about using AI tools in their classes. The work also talks about ethical issues, fairness, and access to AI technology. Finally, it gives practical suggestions to use AI effectively and responsibly in chemistry education.

Keywords: AI in education, chemistry education, intelligent tutoring systems, virtual laboratories, adaptive learning, assessment automation

I.INTRODUCTION:

The Chemistry is usually taught through classroom lectures, practice problems, and real laboratory experiments. But in recent years, new AI tools like machine learning, chatbots, smart tutoring systems, and virtual labs have started to change the way students learn chemistry. These technologies can help students understand topics better and make teaching easier for instructors. This paper looks at how AI can improve chemistry education, what problems it may create, and how we can carefully study its effects in the classroom.

1.1 Rationale

Chemistry can be difficult to understand, real labs can be risky, and students often have different learning levels. Because of this, AI can be very helpful in teaching chemistry. AI can give each student a personalized way of learning, create virtual experiments that are cheaper and safer, and give quick feedback. But using AI also brings concerns, such as fairness, data privacy, how teachers' roles may change, and whether learning quality will remain high.

II.LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 AI-driven adaptive learning platforms

Adaptive learning systems change the lesson speed and difficulty based on how each student is performing. Studies in science and math show that these platforms can make students more interested and improve short-term learning. However, we still do not know enough about how well they help students understand chemistry concepts deeply.

2.2 Intelligent tutoring systems (ITS)

ITS are smart programs that understand what a student knows and give step-by-step help. They have worked well in subjects like physics and math. Chemistry versions of ITS also look promising but need more detailed models to explain chemical problem-solving correctly.

2.3 Virtual and augmented laboratories

Virtual labs and AR/VR allow students to do experiments safely, anytime, and as many times as they want. Research shows that

students gain better practical skills and understand concepts more clearly, especially when real labs are not available. But there are still questions about how realistic these simulations are and whether the skills learned transfer well to real laboratory work.

2.4 Automated assessment and feedback

AI tools can automatically grade assignments (even written answers) and give instant feedback, which helps in large classes. However, it is still difficult for AI to accurately grade complex explanations, chemical equations, and detailed lab reports.

2.5 Teacher roles and professional development

AI does not replace teachers. Instead, it changes their role to guiding students, understanding learning data, and creating advanced learning tasks. Teachers need proper training to use AI tools effectively in their teaching.

2.6 Equity, access, and ethics

There are concerns about unequal access to technology, privacy of student data, unfair algorithms, and too much dependence on commercial AI platforms. It is important to design AI tools fairly and handle student data transparently.

IV.THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

This study draws on cognitive load theory, constructivist learning theory, and distributed cognition. AI tools are conceptualized as his study is based on three ideas about how students learn:

- Cognitive load theory: students learn better when difficult information is presented in manageable steps.
- Constructivist learning theory: students build their own understanding through active learning.
- **Distributed cognition:** learning can be shared between people and tools.

In this study, AI tools are seen as **learning partners** that can guide students, help with routine work, and give support when needed. This allows both students and teachers to spend more time on deeper thinking and understanding complex chemistry concepts.



\parallel Volume 9 \parallel Issue 10 \parallel October 2025 \parallel ISSN (Online) 2456-0774

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ADVANCE SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH

AND ENGINEERING TRENDS

cognitive partners that can scaffold student learning and offload routine tasks, enabling instructors and students to focus on higher-order reasoning.

V.METHODOLOGY

5.1 Strategy

The study will use a mixed-methods approach, meaning it will collect both numerical data and written feedback. It will compare two groups:

- Treatment group: students who use AI tools
- Control group: students who learn without AI tools
 These groups will be taken from different chemistry
 classes at several colleges.

5.2 Participants

The study will include college students who are taking General Chemistry I.

5.3 Involvement

During the whole semester, the treatment group will use several AI tools:

- an adaptive homework system that adjusts based on student performance
- an intelligent tutoring program for topics like stoichiometry and thermochemistry
- students selected Different app for Chemistry education

Teachers will receive short training on how to use these AI tools before the semester begins.

Instruments and Data Collection

We will collect information in several ways:

- Before and after tests to check how well students understand chemistry concepts.
- Course performance records such as homework, lab reports, and exam questions linked to specific concepts.
- AI platform data, including time spent on tasks, number of attempts, and use of hints.
- Student surveys about their motivation, learning experience, and attitudes.
- Interviews and group discussions with teachers and some students to understand their experiences.
- Classroom observations to see how lessons are conducted.

VI.RESULT AND CONTRIBUTION

The study is expected to show small to moderate improvements in students' problem-solving skills and lab-related skills, especially when intelligent tutoring systems give step-by-step help. Students who use adaptive learning tools regularly are also likely to be more engaged. Teachers may change their teaching style by focusing more on explaining concepts and helping students connect ideas. The study will provide solid

recommendations for how to use AI in chemistry classes and offer a method for checking whether skills learned in virtual labs can be successfully applied in real labs.

VILCONCLUSION

The AI technology can greatly improve chemistry education. It can give students personalized practice, make experiments easier to access through virtual labs, and save teachers time so they can focus on explaining difficult concepts. However, we still need research to understand how well students transfer what they learn, whether AI tools are fair for everyone, and what kind of support teachers need. This study aims to provide clear evidence and useful guidelines that will help teachers and colleges use AI effectively.

VIII.REFERENCES

- 1 Bransford, J.D., Brown, A.L., & Cocking, R.R. (2000). How People Learn: Brain, Mind, Experience, and School
- 2 Chi, M.T.H. (2000). Self-explanations and learning from worked examples.
- 3 Koedinger, K.R., & Corbett, A.T. (2006). Cognitive tutors: Technology bringing learning sciences to the classroom.
- 4 National Research Council. (2012). Discipline-based education research: Understanding and improving learning in undergraduate science and engineering.
- 5 Merrill, M.D. (2002). First principles of instruction.
- 6 Perspectives of Generative AI in Chemistry Education Within the TPACK Framework. Journal of Science Education and Technology (2025) by [authors].
- 7 Utilization of artificial intelligence and machine learning in chemistry education: a critical review. Discover Education (2024).
- 8 Ma, Y. & Lin, S. (2024). Innovative Practice and Application Research on Artificial Intelligence Empowering High School Chemistry Experiment Teaching. International Journal of Education and Humanities.
- 9 Saifuddin, T. & Jabeen, S. (2025). AI in Senior Chemistry Education: Enhancing Engagement, Personalization, and Pedagogical Practice. Journal of Media Horizons.
- 10 **Dos Santos, R. P. (2023).** Enhancing Chemistry Learning with ChatGPT and Bing Chat as Agents to Think With: A Comparative Case Study. (preprint on arXiv).
- 11 **Dos Santos, R. P. (2023).** Enhancing Chemistry Learning with ChatGPT, Bing Chat, Bard, and Claude as Agents-to-Think-With: A Comparative Case Study. (preprint on arXiv).

IMPACT FACTOR 6.228 WWW.IJASRET.COM 60



|| Volume 9 || Issue 10 || October 2025 || ISSN (Online) 2456-0774 INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ADVANCE SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH

AND ENGINEERING TRENDS

- 12 Hocky, G. M. & White, A. D. (2021). Natural Language Processing Models That Automate Programming Will Transform Chemistry Research and Teaching. (preprint on arXiv).
- 13 Borchers, C., Zhang, J., Baker, R. S., & Aleven, V. (2023). Using Think-Aloud Data to Understand Relations between Self-Regulation Cycle Characteristics and Student Performance in Intelligent Tutoring Systems. (preprint on arXiv).
- 14 Harianti, A., Kadarohman, A., & Anwar, S. (2025).

 Pre-Service Chemistry Teachers' Knowledge, Usage and Perceptions of Artificial Intelligence in Education.

 Journal of Chemistry Education Research.

IMPACT FACTOR 6.228 WWW.IJASRET.COM 61